

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

08/933,822

Applicant(s)BOWERS, CHARLES
EDWARD

Examiner

Sam Chuan C. Yao

Art Unit

1733

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(3) _____.

(2) Ms Virginia Szigeti.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested for Counsel to cite a passage which provides support to newly added claim 24. Counsel cited example 3. Examiner proposed to: a) add the limitation of claim 24 to claim 16; b) correct the potential 112 2nd paragraph issue regarding a selected 1st base fiber (i.e. "polyamides, nylon-6, nylon-6,6"); and, c) cancel all non-elected claims in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Counsel agreed with Examiner proposal, but made a counter proposal of omitting the recited melting range of a binder from claim 16. Examiner agreed.